Inference for Linear Regression

November 6, 2019

November 6, 2019

1 / 23

・ロト ・日下 ・日下

国・三国

DQC

Regression Example

Asking R for a summary of the regression model, we get the following:

```
lm(formula = eruptions ~ waiting)
Residuals:
    Min    1Q Median    3Q    Max
-1.29917 -0.37689   0.03508   0.34909   1.19329
Coefficients:
        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -1.874016   0.160143 -11.70   <2e-16 ***
waiting    0.075628   0.002219   34.09   <2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:    0 '***'   0.001 '**'   0.01 '*'   0.05 '.'   0.1 ' ' 1</pre>
```

Residual standard error: 0.4965 on 270 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8115, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8108 F-statistic: 1162 on 1 and 270 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Let's pick this apart piece by piece.

```
Call:
lm(formula = eruptions ~ waiting)
Residuals:
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-1.29917 -0.37689 0.03508 0.34909 1.19329
```

- $\bullet\,$ The first line shows the command used in R to run this regression model.
- The **Residuals** item shows a quartile-based summary of our residuals.

Residual standard error: 0.4965 on 270 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8115, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8108 F-statistic: 1162 on 1 and 270 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

The F-statistic and p-value give information about the model overall.

- These are based on an F-distribution.
- The null hypothesis is that all of our model parameters are 0 (the model gives us no good info).
- Since p-value< $2.2 \times 10^{-16} < \alpha = 0.05$, at least one of the parameters is nonzero (the model is useful).

Residual standard error: 0.4965 on 270 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8115, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8108 F-statistic: 1162 on 1 and 270 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

- Multiple R-squared is our squared correlation coefficient R^2 .
- This tells us how good our fit is.
- Ignore the adjusted R-squared and residual standard error for now.

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) -1.874016 0.160143 -11.70 <2e-16 waiting 0.075628 0.002219 34.09 <2e-16

Finally, the **Coefficients** section gives us several pieces of information:

- **O** Estimate shows the estimated parameters for each value.
- **2** Std. Error gives the standard error for each parameter estimate.
- **③** The t valuess are the test statistics for each parameter estiamte.
- Finally, Pr(>|t|) are the p-values for each parameter estimate.

The hypothesis test for each regression coefficient has hypotheses

$$H_0: \beta_i = 0$$
$$H_A: \beta_i \neq 0$$

where i = 0 for the intercept and i = 1 for the slope.

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) -1.874016 0.160143 -11.70 <2e-16 waiting 0.075628 0.002219 34.09 <2e-16

- $p value < 2 \times 10^{-16}$ for b_0 so we can conclude that the intercept is nonzero.
- $p value < 2 \times 10^{-16}$ for b_1 so we conclude that the intercept is also nonzero.
- This means that the intercept and slope both provide useful information when predicting values of y = eruptions.

We can construct confidence intervals similar to those for hypothesis tests. A $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for β_i is

$$b_i \pm t_{\alpha/2}(df) \times SE(b_i)$$

where the model df and SE can be found in the regression output.

- ANOVA will also play a role in regression.
- We can get the ANOVA table for a regression.

< D > < B >

3

DQC

The ANOVA table in regression will look something like this:

	Df	$\operatorname{Sum}\operatorname{Sq}$	Mean Sq	F value	$\Pr(>F)$
faithful\$waiting	1	286.478	286.478	1162.1	< 2.2e-16
Residuals	270	66.562	0.247		

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) -1.874016 0.160143 -11.70 <2e-16 waiting 0.075628 0.002219 34.09 <2e-16

Find 95% confidence intervals for β_0 and β_1 .

We now know

- how to examine if a model is useful.
- how to confirm that our regression assumptions are satisfied.

San

Given a useful regression line, we want to

- estimate an average value of y for a given value of x.
- estimate a particular value of y for a given value of x.

프 🕨 🛛 프

San

I D F I A B F I B F

We've already talked about using a regression line to make predictions.

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1 x$$

Plug in x and we get a good estimate for the *average* value of y at that point.

Point estimates are useful, but we want to consider variability!

- Recall: one of our regression assumptions is normally distributed errors.
- This means that the variability around the regression line should be approximately normal
 - with mean $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x$
 - and standard deviation σ .

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

- Notice that \hat{y} is an estimator.
- The variability of an estimator is its standard error.
- Then σ is well-approximated by

$$SE(\hat{y}) = \sqrt{\text{MSE}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{SS_x}\right)}$$

Section 8.4

November 6, 2019

17 / 23

3

200

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Since we are working with a normal distribution, estimation and testing can be based on the test statistic

$$t = \frac{\hat{y} - y_0}{SE(\hat{y})}$$

which corresponds to a t(n-2) distribution.

A $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for the average value of y (measured by $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x$) when $x = x_0$ is

$$\hat{y} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n-2) \times SE(\hat{y})$$

or

$$\hat{y} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n-2) \times \sqrt{\text{MSE}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{SS_x}\right)}$$

Section 8.4

November 6, 2019

- So far, we've only considered *average* values of the outcome variable *y*.
- What if we wanted to predict a *particular* value of y?

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 三ト

3

For a residual,

$e=\epsilon+{\rm error}$ in estimating line

- We don't know the true breakdown between these components.
- ...but we can use this concept to build a new standard error formula.

イロト イヨト イヨト

3

San

The standard error of $(y - \hat{y})$ is

$$SE(y - \hat{y}) = \sqrt{\text{MSE}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{SS_x}\right)}$$

Section 8.4

November 6, 2019

A $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ prediction interval for a specific value of y when $x = x_0$ is

$$\hat{y} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n-2) \times SE(y-\hat{y})$$

or

$$\hat{y} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n-2) \times \sqrt{\text{MSE}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{SS_x}\right)}$$

Section 8.4

November 6, 2019