Analysis of Variance

October 16, 2019

October 16, 2019

1 / 23

画 🔺 👘 亜

990

- Question: is the variability in the sample means so large that it seems unlikely to be from chance alone?
- We call this variability the **mean square between groups** (MSG) or **mean square for treatment** (MST).

- This acts as a measure of variability for the k group means.
- It has degrees of freedom $df_G = k 1$.
- If H_0 is true, we expect this variability to be small.

$$MSG = \frac{1}{df_G}SSG$$
$$= \frac{1}{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where SSG is the sum of squares between groups.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< 注 → 三 注

Mean Square Between Groups



...but MSG isn't very useful on its own.

Section 7.5

October 16, 2019

5 / 23

ł

- We need an idea of how much variability would be expected (or normal) if H_0 were true.
- This is done using a pooled variance estimate, called the **mean** square error (MSE).
- This is a measure of variability within groups.
- MSE has degrees of freedom $df_E = n k$

$$MSE = \frac{1}{df_E}SSE$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-k}\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1)s_i^2$$

where SSE is the sum of squares for error and s_i is the standard deviation for the observations in group i.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・

프 - 프

It's also useful to think of a sum of squares total (SST)

$$SST = SSG + SSE$$

and total degrees of freedom

$$df_T = df_G + df_E$$
$$= k - 1 + n - k$$
$$= n - 1$$

Section 7.5

October 16, 2019

8 / 23

200

(日) (四) (전) (전) (전) (전) (전)

If we were to find the mean square total,

$$MST = \frac{1}{df_T}SST$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1}(SSG + SST)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

we would get the variance across all observations!

The ANOVA breaks the variance down into

- within group (random) variability (MSE).
- between group (means) variability (MSG).

We want to know how much variability is due to differences in groups *relative to the within groups variability*.

So our test statistic is

$$F = \frac{MSG}{MSE}$$

For our baseball example,

| | OF | IF | С |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Sample size (n_i) | 160 | 205 | 64 |
| Sample mean (\bar{x}_i) | 0.320 | 0.318 | 0.302 |
| Sample sd (s_i) | 0.043 | 0.038 | 0.038 |

MSG = 0.00803 and MSE = 0.00158.

Find the degrees of freedom and the F statistic.

With our F distribution comes the F-test. Using the F-distribution, we calculate

- $F_{\alpha}(df_1, df_2)$ critical values.
- $\bullet\,$ p-values

If the between-group variability is high relative to the within group variability,

- MSG > MSE
- F will be large.
- Large values of F represent stronger evidence against the null.

This is the F(2, 426) distribution from our baseball example.



• F-test p-values will always be from the upper tail area.

- We no longer have one- or two-sided tests to worry about.
- The critical value is $F_{0.05}(2, 426) = 3.0169$.

æ

< □ > < □ > < □</p>

What can we conclude about the baseball field positions?

Recall $F_{0.05}(2, 426) = 3.0169$.

Section 7.5

October 16, 2019

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

- Typically we will run ANOVA using software.
- Fortunately there is a standard output for this analysis.

Let's take some time to write out the ANOVA table.

This is the ANOVA from **R** for the MLB example.

| | Df | Sum Sq | Mean Sq | F value | $\Pr(>F)$ |
|-----------|-----|--------|---------|---------|-----------|
| position | 2 | 0.0161 | 0.0080 | 5.0766 | 0.0066 |
| Residuals | 426 | 0.6740 | 0.0016 | | |

What can we conclude based on the table?

200

(日) (四) (注) (注) (三)

Suppose we have 10 data points from each of 5 groups of interest.

| Source | df | \mathbf{SS} | MS | \mathbf{F} |
|--------|----|---------------|----|--------------|
| Group | | | 3 | |
| Error | | | | |
| Total | | 20 | | |

Fill in the missing information from the ANOVA table.

Section 7.5

October 16, 2019

4 ロ ト 4 回 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 の Q (*) 19 / 23 There are three conditions for ANOVA:

- Independence
- **2** Approximate normality
- Onstant variance

 $\equiv \rightarrow$

→ Ξ →

A D > A D >

3

- It is reasonable to assume independence if the data are a simple random sample.
- If the data are not a random sample, consider carefully.
 - In the MLB example, no clear reason why a player's batting stats would impact another player's batting stats.

Sar

ANOVA Diagnostics: Normality



- Normality is especially important for small samples.
- For large samples, ANOVA is *robust to* deviations from normality.

22 / 23

1

ANOVA Diagnostics: Constant Variance



- We can check this visually or by examining the standard deviations for each group.
- Constant variance is especially important when the sample sizes differ between groups.

Section 7.5

23 / 23