## Power Calculations for a Difference of Means

October 9, 2019

October 9, 2019

1 / 20

ヨトーヨ

DQC

A D > A D >

We have two slight variations of the same exam, randomly assigned to students in a course.

|           | Version A | Version B |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| n         | 30        | 27        |
| $\bar{x}$ | 79.4      | 74.1      |
| s         | 14        | 20        |
| $\min$    | 45        | 32        |
| $\max$    | 100       | 100       |

Is there enough evidence to conclude that one version is more difficult (on average) than the other? Our standard error for two-sample means is

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}$$

What if we have reason to believe that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ ?

- Sometimes two populations will have the same standard deviation.
- We might have a lot of existing data or a well-understood mechanism that justifies this.
- Sometimes we may also test equality of variances.

I D F I A B F I B F

프 - 프

Sac

Here we can improve the t-distribution approach by using a pooled standard deviation (pooled variance):

$$s_{\text{pooled}}^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

Then the standard error is

$$SE \approx \sqrt{\frac{s_{\rm pooled}^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_{\rm pooled}^2}{n_2}}$$

with degrees of freedom

$$df = n_1 + n_2 - 2.$$

Section 7.3

< □ ▶ < ⊡ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ </li>
 6 / 20

Recall:

- Type I error: rejecting  $H_0$  when it is actually true.
- Type II error: failing to reject  $H_0$  when  $H_A$  is actually true.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・

≡▶ ≡ ∽੧<?

## We determine how often we commit a Type I error:

 $P(\text{Type I error}) = \alpha$ 

but what about Type II errors?

We can write

 $P(\text{Type II error}) = \beta$ 

but what does that tell us?

(Note:  $\beta$  is the Greek letter "beta".)

**Power** is the probability that we are able to accurately detect effects.

- This is the *complement* of  $\beta$ .
- There is a trade-off between Type I and Type II error.
- We can't set  $\beta$  the way we set  $\alpha$ .
- But we know we can decrease Type II error by increasing sample size.

- 1

200

(日) (종) (종) (종)

This is another trade-off!

- We want as much data as possible
- ...but collecting data can be very expensive.

3

DQC

<ロト <四ト <注ト <注下

Goal: determine the sample size necessary to achieve 80% power.

We will demonstrate using a clinical trial.

200

(日) (四) (전) (전) (전) (전) (전)

- A company has a new blood pressure drug.
- A clinical trial will test its effectiveness.
- Study participants are recruited from a population taking a standard blood pressure medication.
- Control group: standard medication.
- Treatment group: new medication.

3

590

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Write down the hypotheses for a two-sided hypothesis test in this context.

- Want to run trial on patients with systolic blood pressures b/w 140 and 180 mmHg.
- Existing studies suggest:
  - standard deviation of patients' blood pressures will be about 12 mmHg.
  - distribution of patient blood pressures will be approximately symmetric.

If we had 100 patients per group, what would be the approximate standard error?

What does the null distribution of  $\bar{x}_{trt} - \bar{x}_{ctrl}$  look like?

For what values of  $\bar{x}_{trt} - \bar{x}_{ctrl}$  would we reject the null hypothesis?

Section 7.4

October 9, 2019

<□▶ <□▶ < 三▶ < 三▶ < 三▶ E のQ() 16 / 20 What if we wanted to be able to detect smaller differences?

What if instead we had 200 patients in each group?

Section 7.4

October 9, 2019

- We need to determine what is a practically significant result.
- We suppose the researchers care about finding a blood pressure difference of at least 3 mmHgn.
- This is called the minimum **effect size**.
- We want to know how likely we are to detect this size of an effect.

Sar

- Suppose we decide to use 100 patients per treatment group.
- The true difference in blood pressure reduction is -3 mmHg.
- What is the probability that we are able to reject  $H_0$  (given that it's false)?

프 > 프

San

I D F I A B F I B F

Find the sampling distribution when  $\bar{x}_{trt} - \bar{x}_{ctrl} = -3$ .

Use this to find the probability that we are able to reject  $H_0$  (given that it's false)?