Confidence Intervals for a Sample Proportion

August 20, 2019

August 20, 2019

1 / 62

A D > A D >

ヨト ヨ

DQC

One of your observant peers caught a typo on my exam key! Exam grades have been updated in iLearn.

Today's office hours are from 12-2 PM.

Recall that standard error is closely related to both standard deviation and sample size. In fact,

$$SE = \frac{sd}{\sqrt{n}}$$

This is true regardless of the population parameter of interest.

San

- \hat{p} is a single plausible value for the population proportion p.
- But there is always some standard error associated with \hat{p} .
- We want to be able to provide a plausible range of values instead.

토 문 문

200

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・

- A point estimate is like spear fishing in murky waters.
- Chances are we'll miss our fish.
- A range of values is like casting a net.
- Now we have a much higher chance of catching our fish.

This range of values is called a **confidence interval**.

The idea behind a confidence interval is

- Building an interval related to \hat{p}
- This interval captures a range of plausible values.
- With more values come more opportunities to capture the true population parameter.

San

If we want to be very certain that we capture the population parameter, should we use a wider or a smaller interval?

- Based on our sample, \hat{p} is the most plausible value for p.
- Therefore will build our confidence interval around \hat{p} .
- The standard error will act as a guide for how large to make the interval.

- When the Central Limit Theorem conditions are satisfied, the point estimate comes from a normal distribution.
- For a normal distribution, 95% of the data is within |Z| = 1.96 standard deviations of the mean.
- Our confidence interval will extend 1.96 standard errors from the sample proportion.

San

Putting these together, we can be 95% confidence that the following interval captures the population proportion:

point estimate $\pm 1.96 \times SE$

$$\hat{p} \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

Section 5.2

August 20, 2019

In this interval, the upper bound is

$$\hat{p} + 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

and the lower bound is

$$\hat{p} - 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

Section 5.2

August 20, 2019

12 / 62

200

(日) (四) (注) (注) (注) (三)

What does 95% confident mean?

- Confidence is based on the concept of *repeated sampling*.
- $\bullet\,$ Suppose we took 1000 samples and built a 95% confidence interval from each.
- Then about 95% of these would contain the true parameter p.

San

95% Confidence Intervals



25 confidence intervals built from 25 samples where the true proportion is p = 0.88. Only one of these did not capture the true proportion.

포 > 프

500

Image: A matrix

Last class we talked about a sample of 1000 Americans where 88.7% said that they supported expanding solar power.

Find a 95% confidence interval for p.

We decided during our last class that the Central Limit Theorem applies and that

$$\mu_{\hat{p}} = \hat{p} = 0.887$$

and

$$SE_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} = 0.010$$

590

Plugging these into our confidence interval,

$$\begin{split} \hat{p} &\pm 1.96 \times SE_{\hat{P}} \\ &\to 0.887 \pm 1.96 \times 0.010 \\ &\to 0.887 \pm 0.0196 \\ &\to (0.8674, 0.9066) \end{split}$$

We can be 95% confident that the actual proportion of adults who support expanding solar power is between 86.7% and 90.7%.

- Suppose we want to cast a wider net and find a 99% confidence interval.
- To do so, we must widen our 95% confidence interval.
- $\bullet~$ If we wanted a 90% confidence interval, we would need to narrow our 95% interval.

イロト イヨト イヨト

- -

San

We decided that the 95% confidence interval for a point estimate that follows the Central Limit Theorem is

point estimate $\pm 1.96 \times SE$

There are three components to this interval:

- the point estimate
- 2 "1.96"
- the standard error

- The point estimate and standard error won't change if we change our confidence level.
- $\bullet~1.96$ was based on capturing 95% of the data for our normal distribution.
- We will need to adjust this value for other confidence levels.

Image: A matrix

3

San

If X is a normally distributed random variable, what is the probability of the value x being within 2.58 standard deviations of the mean?

A D > A D >

3

DQC

We want to know how often the Z-score will be between -2.58 and 2.58:

$$P(-2.58 < Z < 2.58) = P(Z < 2.58) - P(Z < -2.58)$$
$$= 0.9951 - 0.0049$$
$$\approx 0.99$$

So there is a 99% probability that X will be within 2.58 standard deviations of μ

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 三ト

1

DQC

With this in mind, we can create a 99% confidence interval:

point estimate $\pm 2.58 \times SE$

All we needed to do was change 1.96 in the 95% confidence interval formula to 2.58.

General Confidence Intervals



Crucially, the area between $-z_{\alpha/2}$ and $z_{\alpha/2}$ increases as $z_{\alpha/2}$ becomes larger.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ </p>

For now, we will think of α (Greek letter alpha) as the chance that p is *not* in our interval.

 $\alpha = 1 - \text{confidence level}$

We call α the **level of significance**.

We can rework our formula for α to say that our confidence level is

 $1 - \alpha$

as a proportion, or

$$(1-\alpha) \times 100\%$$

as a percent.

Over the next few slides, we will consider why we use the notation $z_{\alpha/2}$.

- Using Z-scores and the normal model is appropriate when our point estimate is associated with a normal model.
- This is true when
 - our point estimate is the mean of a variable that is itself normally distributed
 - **2** the Central Limit Theorem holds for our point estimate

When a normal model is not a good fit, we will use alternative distributions. These will come up in later chapters.

Sar

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

If a point estimate closely follows a normal model with standard error SE, then a confidence interval for the population parameter is

point estimate $\pm z_{\alpha/2} \times SE$

where $z_{\alpha/2}$ corresponds to the desired confidence level.

In this general setting, the upper bound for the interval is

point estimate $+ z_{\alpha/2} \times SE$

and the lower bound is

point estimate $-z_{\alpha/2} \times SE$

In a confidence interval,

point estimate $\pm z_{\alpha/2} \times SE$,

we refer to $z_{\alpha/2} \times SE$ as the **margin of error**.

- The margin of error is the maximum amount of error that we allow from the point estimate.
- That is, this is the furthest distance from the point estimate that we consider to be plausible.
- We expect the true parameter to be within this error, limited by the confidence level.

- 12

San

(日) (종) (종) (종)

Margin of error will *decrease* when

- *n* increases.
- 1α decreases.
- $\alpha/2$ increases.
- $z_{\alpha/2}$ decreases.

Margin of error will increase under opposite conditions.

In a confidence interval,

point estimate $\pm z_{\alpha/2} \times SE$,

we refer to $z_{\alpha/2}$ as the **critical value**.

We want to select $z_{\alpha/2}$ so that the area between $-z_{\alpha/2}$ and $z_{\alpha/2}$ in the standard normal distribution, N(0, 1), corresponds to the confidence level.

Let c be the desired confidence level. We want to find $z_{\alpha/2}$ such that

$$c = P(-z_{\alpha/2} < Z < z_{\alpha/2})$$

Rewriting this,

$$c = P(-z_{\alpha/2} < Z < z_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - P(Z > z_{\alpha/2}) - P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})$$

Since $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ is symmetric,

$$P(Z > z_{\alpha/2}) = P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})$$

Section 5.2

So

$$c = P(-z_{\alpha/2} < Z < z_{\alpha/2})$$

= 1 - P(Z > z_{\alpha/2}) - P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})
= 1 - P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2}) - P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})
= 1 - 2P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})

Section 5.2

August 20, 2019

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 今 Q () 36 / 62 Solving for $P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})$, we find

$$\frac{1-c}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2} = P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})$$

Hence $z_{\alpha/2}!$

Since c is some number, say 0.90 (a 90% confidence level), we now have an easy way to find $z_{\alpha/2}!$

Suppose you want to find a 99% confidence interval. Find $z_{\alpha/2}$. We know that

$$\frac{1-c}{2} = P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2})$$

and that a 99% confidence level translates to c = 0.99.

 So

$$P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2}) = \frac{1-c}{2} = \frac{1-c}{2} = \frac{1-0.99}{2} = 0.005$$

Using software to find this percentile, $-z_{\alpha/2} = -2.58$ (so $z_{\alpha/2} = 2.58$). This is what the textbook told us earlier!

Recall our sample of 1000 adults, 88.7% of whom were found to support the expansion of solar energy. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion. Note that we have already verified conditions for normality.

First, our point estimate is $\hat{p} = 0.887$.

Now we need to find $z_{\alpha/2}$. Our confidence level is c = 0.90.

$$P(Z < -z_{\alpha/2}) = \frac{1-c}{2} = \frac{1-0.9}{2} = 0.05$$

Using **R**, we find $-z_{\alpha/2} = -1.65$ (so $z_{\alpha/2} = 1.65$).

Section 5.2

August 20, 2019

4 ロ ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 62

Then the 90% confidence interval can be computed as

 $\hat{p} \pm 1.65 \times SE \longrightarrow 0.887 \pm 1.65 \times 0.010$

which is the interval (0.8705, 0.9035).

Thus we are 90% confident that 87.1% to 90.4% of American adults support the expansion of solar power.

There are four steps to constructing these confidence intervals:

- **1** Identify \hat{p} , n, and the desired confidence level.
- **2** Verify that \hat{p} is approximately normal
 - $\bullet\,$ Use the success-failure condition with \hat{p} to verify the Central Limit Theorem.
- Compute SE using p̂ and find z_{α/2}, using these values to construct your interval.
- **()** Interpret your confidence interval *in the context of the problem.*

After a doctor contracted Ebola in New York City, a poll of 1042 New Yorkers found that 82% were in favor of a mandatory quarantine for anyone who'd come in contact with with an Ebola patient.

We will walk through developing and interpreting a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of New Yorkers who favor mandatory quarantine.

First, we need to find the point estimate and confirm that a normal model is appropriate.

$$\hat{p} = 0.82$$

This is the given proportion of polled New Yorkers who favored mandatory quarantine.

To confirm that a normal model is appropriate, we check our success-failure condition using the plug-in approach:

$$n\hat{p} = 1042 \times 0.82 = 853.62 \ge 10$$

and

$$n(1-\hat{p}) = 1042 \times (1-0.82) = 187.38 \ge 10$$

Since the normal model is appropriate, we can move on to calculating the standard error for \hat{p} based on the Central Limit Theorem. We will again use the plug-in approach.

$$SE_{\hat{p}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.82(1-0.82)}{1041}} = 0.012$$

Now we want to find our critical value $z_{\alpha/2}$ for our 95% confidence interval. In this case,

$$\alpha = 1 - \text{confidence level} = 0.05$$

Then, using software, $z_{\alpha/2} = z_{0.025} = 1.96$ and our confidence interval is

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \times SE = 0.82 \pm 1.96 \times 0.012$$

= 0.82 \pm 0.0235

or (0.796, 0.844).

Finally, to interpret the interval (0.796, 0.844):

We can be 95% confident that the proportion of New York adults in October 2014 who supported a quarantine for anyone who had come into contact with an Ebola patients was between 0.796 and 0.844.

DQC

When we say that we are 95% confident, we mean:

If we took many such samples and computed a 95% confidence interval for each

- \bullet About 95% of those intervals would contain the actual proportion.
- This proportion is of New York adults who supported a quarantine for anyone who has come into contact with an Ebola patient.

San

Whenever we interpret a confidence interval,

- The statement should be about the population parameter of interest.
- We do *not* want to talk about the probability that that interval captures the population parameter.
 - This is an important technical detail that has to do with our definition of "95% confident".

DQC

<ロト (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

Whenever we interpret a confidence interval,

- The confidence interval says nothing about individual observations or point estimates.
- These methods apply to sampling error and ignore bias entirely!
 - If we are systematically over- or under-estimating, confidence intervals will not address this problem.

San

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

Consider the 90% confidence interval for the solar energy survey: 87.1% to 90.4%. If we ran the survey again, can we say that we're 90% confident that the new survey's proportion will be between 87.1% and 90.4%?

3

San

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- No! Confidence intervals don't tell us anything about future point estimates.
- Our point estimate will change so our confidence interval will change.

Exactly how many observations do we need to get an accurate estimate?

Suppose a manufacturer claims that he is 95% confident that the proportion of defective units coming from his factory is 2%. We want to examine this claim at a margin of error no greater than 0.5%. How many samples do we need?

San

《日》 《圖》 《문》 《문》 [] 문)

For our proportion, we will consider a Bernoulli distribution with p = 0.02. We will calculate the *n* for this distribution. Then

 $\mu = p = 0.02$

and

$$sd = \sqrt{p(1-p)} = \sqrt{0.02 \times 0.98} = 0.14$$

The margin of error (MoE) is

$$MoE = z_{\alpha/2} \times SE$$
$$= z_{0.05/2} \times \frac{sd}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$= 1.96 \times \frac{0.14}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Section 5.2

August 20, 2019

59 / 62

200

(日) (四) (전) (전) (전) (전) (전)

Note that this is a 95% confidence claim and we want the margin of error (MoE) to be $\leq 0.005.$ So

$$0.005 \ge MoE$$
$$0.005 \ge 1.96 \times \frac{0.14}{\sqrt{n}}$$

60 / 62

200

Solving for n,

$$n \ge \left(1.96 \times \frac{0.14}{0.005}\right)^2 = 3011.814$$

- Since $n \ge 3011.814$ and we need a whole number of samples, we will always round up!
- We will need at least 3012 samples to achieve a margin of error of no more than 0.5%.

- 12

5900

(日) (종) (종) (종)

In general, for a confidence interval,

$$n \ge \left(z_{\alpha/2} \times \frac{sd}{MoE}\right)^2$$

where MoE is the desired maximum margin of error. We will always round n up to the nearest integer.