

A Comparative Simulation Study on Various Equality of Variance Tests

Feini Pek, Lauren Cappiello Department of Mathematics and Statistics



Why do we care?

- Variance is a measure of how far data points are from the mean
- Statistics is an essential component of every study
- Numerous statistical testing assume homogeneity of variance
- Experimental data is messy
- Goal : With several choices of equality of variance testing, we want to identify the best test to use given different types of data





1. **Test For Equality of Group Variances**

- 2. Brown Forsythe vs Levene's Test
- 3. **Bootstrap Testing**
- 4. Methodology
- 5. **Results**
- 6. Conclusion & Discussion
- 7. Future Directions



riances Fest



Outline

Test for Equality of Group Variances

What does the test do? Identify whether the variances between 2 groups are different

How does the test work? **Null Hypothesis (H₀) : The group variances are equal** Alternative Hypothesis (H_{Δ}) : The group variances are not equal **Returns a p-value** Significance level (α) = 0.05

p-value < 0.05 \rightarrow Reject H₀ p-value > 0.05 \rightarrow Do not reject H₀



Levene's Test

- Uses deviations from group means
- Gives the best power for symmetric, moderate tailed distributions

- Uses deviations from group medians • More robust to skewness
- - and unequal sample sizes



Brown-Forsythe

- What is Bootstrap Testing?
- A form of hypothesis testing that involves resampling a single data set to create a multitude of simulated samples
- Advantages of bootstrap Testing This approach doesn't assume any underlying distribution of the data since the sampling distribution can be observed.
- In our case:

We resample our median deviances and construct a sampling distribution from which we will infer a p-value





Methodology

Conduct a simulation study in R **1.Generate data from beta distribution**



Data used in this study:

We are using:

Source : https://vitalflux.com/beta-distribution-explained-with-python-examples/

Beta distribution of skew 0 – 3 5 Variance ratio (1:1 to 1:5) Sample size of 50 and 100

4 x 5 x 2 = 40 different settings

Methodology

- 2. Perform all 3 tests on the data
- 3. Collect p values
- 4. Repeat 10,000 times
- 5. Test for type 1 and type 2 errors
 - Type I error = falsely reject null hypothesis
- Type II error = fail to reject null hypothesis
 We test type I error for data with Variance ratio 1:1
 We test type II error for data with Variance ratio 1:2 1:5



Results



- Brown Forsythe Test returns the lowest Type I Error level, of average 0.049 for all level of skewness and both n = 50 and n = 100 - All the test performed similarly for skew level 0,1, but error level shoots up for both Levene's and bootstrap when skew \geq 2.

Results



- All the test return a low level of Type II error even when the data is skewed - Since the error level < 0.35 when n = 50, and error level < 0.06 when n = 100, the test are sensitive to sample size

- As the variance ratio increases, type II error decreases



Conclusion & Discussion

- BF outperforms the other tests across all level of skews, for groups with equal sample sizes
- Unexpected type I error for bootstrap testing
- If type I error is larger, then it is expected for type 2 to be smaller

Future Directions

- Improve bootstrap testing performance

- Evaluate the tests' performances on datasets with different sample sizes









